Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/607,719	LIANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul M. Booth.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
ii res, provide a brief description.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
I DU		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporate claims 25 and 28 into all independent claims; 2) delete the term "optionally" in line 4 of claim 40; 3) amend claim 40 to read similar to that of claim 19 and in compliance with the interview agreement; and 4) amend the specification at paragraph 0068 to insert the term "about" for the stabilizer (support can be found in originally filed claim 8). Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.